

**CHANDLER'S FORD PARISH COUNCIL**  
**PLANNING, HIGHWAYS AND LICENCING COMMITTEE**  
**27 FEBRUARY 2012**  
**Chandler's Ford UR Church, Kings Road, Chandler's Ford**  
**(7.00 pm – 8.40 pm)**

PRESENT: Councillor Cole (Chairman); Councillors Bicknell, Boyes, Bull, Mrs Hodgson, Hosegood and Streeter

APOLOGIES: Councillors Mrs Arnett

In attendance - Stephen Mursell, Parish Clerk and one resident

**1. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

There was one member of the public present who was invited to address the committee on the application for change of use of 91 Bournemouth Road, Chandler's Ford.

**2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Cllr Cole stated a declaration of Interest in respect of discussions on 91 Bournemouth Road as a managing director of a letting agency.

Cllr Hosegood stated a declaration of interest in respect of agenda item 9 as he lets commercial property from Eastleigh Borough Council.

**3. MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING**

It was AGREED that the minutes of the Planning and Licensing Committee meeting held on 19 January 2012 be signed by the Chairman as an accurate record.

**4. MATTERS ARISING**

The Chairman stated that he had raised the concerns of members of this committee about the future role of the Planning Committee to the Strategic Planning Committee. The Chairman was reassured that the role of the Strategic Planning Committee was considered as policy making and the role of the Planning Committee will be operational in respect of implementation of policy. As such the roles of both committees were seen as separate functions.

**5. 91 BOURNEMOUTH ROAD**

Members considered the application for change of use of 91 Bournemouth Road from A1 (retail) to A2 (financial Services), change of use of first floor to B1(a) office and other alterations. Members noted that the plans would improve the current property and that consultees had appeared not to raise any objections. Members noted that the car

parking to the site and frequency of visits to the site during the day, should not impact adversely on current traffic movements on Bournemouth Road.

It was AGREED that:

1) This committee should not raise any objection to the application

## **6. TREE WARDENS AND FOOTPATH WARDENS**

The Clerk introduced proposals for the introduction of Tree and Footpath Wardens together with draft roles and responsibilities for the Wardens. Members were advised that there are currently four Tree Wardens engaged by Eastleigh Borough Council and the Clerk noted that they had all indicated their willingness to continue in this role.

Members considered that initially the current tree wardens may be able to oversee footpaths; however a recruitment campaign should commence to recruit additional Tree and Footpath Wardens.

It was AGREED that:

1) This Committee should recommend to the Parish Council that the role of the Tree Warden and the Footpath Warden should be approved together with specified roles and responsibilities

2) This Committee will recommend to the Parish Council that a recruitment campaign be commenced for more Tree and Footpath Wardens and consideration should be given to allocating Wardens to parish wards

3) The Clerk will invite the current Tree Wardens, together with assigned Footpath Wardens, to a subsequent Planning Committee to introduce them to the agreed roles and responsibilities and procedures for reporting issues

## **7. BADDESLEY ROAD CYCLEWAY**

Members considered the proposals from Eastleigh Borough Council for the extension of the current cycleway and the re-siting of the bus shelter.

It was AGREED that:

1) The Clerk would write to the Highways Engineers of Eastleigh Borough Council to confirm that the Parish Council raises no objection to the extension of the current cycleway and welcomes this addition to the current cycle ways in the parish:

## **8. SURREY COURT**

Members considered the proposals for the re-development of Surrey Court which will provide improved extra care facilities for residents. Members noted that the development will take place in two phases to minimise disruption to tenants, a suggestion which resulted from the public consultation. It was also noted that Cllr Grajewski is the Council representative on the Surrey Court Steering Court and will provide guidance to the Council on progress of the development.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise no objection to the proposed development at Surrey Court and would welcome the improvements to the extra care service that this would bring

## **9. OTHER PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Members considered the application for various tree works at Fryern House 125 Winchester Road.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise no objection to the proposed works to the trees marked T1, T3, T4, T6, T8 and T10 to 13. In respect of trees T2 and T9 it would raise an objection to the proposed felling as there is no evidence that the trees are dead, diseased or dangerous.

Members considered the application to fell a Hawthorn tree at 62 Brownhill Road.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise an objection to the proposed felling of the tree as there is no evidence that the tree is dead, diseased or dangerous.

Members considered the application to fell a Beech tree at 29 Nichol Road.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise no objection to the proposed felling of the tree provided that the Tree Officer for Eastleigh Borough Council is in agreement that the tree is dead, diseased or dying and then it should be insisted that a replacement tree is planted by the applicant

Members considered the application to fell an Oak tree at 11 Coultas Road.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise no objection to the proposed felling of the tree provided that the Tree Officer for Eastleigh Borough Council is in agreement that the tree is dead, diseased or dying and then it should be insisted that a replacement tree is planted by the applicant

Members considered the application to fell two Pine trees and crown lift an Oak tree at 59 Merdon Avenue.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise no objection to the proposed felling of the two Pine trees provided that the Tree Officer for Eastleigh Borough Council is in agreement that

the tree is dead, diseased or dying and then it should be insisted that replacement trees are planted by the applicant

Members considered the application to fell an Ash tree at 70 Oakmount Road.

It was AGREED that:

1)The Parish Council would raise an objection to the proposed felling of the tree as there is no evidence that the tree is dead, diseased or dying

## **10. EMPLOYMENT LAND REVIEW**

Members considered the draft Employment Land Review produced by Eastleigh Borough Council. Members noted that the proposals in the draft Core Strategy included the loss of some employment land to residential development and wished to ensure wherever possible that the remaining employment land be retained for that purpose.

Members recognised that the Chandler's Ford Industrial Estate represented valuable employment opportunities to the parish and wished to see this retained and enhanced wherever possible.